



March 29, 2024

Carter Kelly Inc.
171 Middletown Road
Placerville, CA 95667

RE: Response to RFI #1

1. Please provide additional information on the extent of the food line wall that is to have a "window" framed in it. Structural calls for the sill to be at 7' a.f.f., creating a 2'-0" space at the top of the wall. Structural indicates the framed window can be up to 18'-0" wide.
 - We have concern about existing vertical utilities that run in that wall from ceiling to wall as well as new vertical utilities that are sourced from above ceiling. Can you provide a little more detail and information on the extent of the opening and if chases will be created to allow for utilities to pass from wall to ceiling?

Response: Since we do not know exactly what we will find in the wall I propose we remove the gypsum wallboard from the ceiling down to 7'-0" and see what we find. We'll have a site meeting and work around existing conditions. The idea behind the opening is to get light into the new preparation area. The openings should be arranged in a rhythmic pattern and not randomly spaced. The simplest way to do this is to make an on-site determination once the (e) conditions are known.

2. At the new hood location, new propane lines are shown to be installed to the new equipment under the hood. It appears there are some dedicated existing plumbing chases that the new plumbing will route in, but this will require the existing stainless steel to be removed, wall opened up, installation, then patch wall back with new stainless steel. Is this the design intent?
 - Similarly, there is a new propane shutoff valve, new propane lines and a new access panel shown in the existing wall. FYI – this wall will need to be opened up, plumbed, patched back and new FRP installed on the wall.

Response: The proposed solution of removing the (e) stainless steel and opening the wall is acceptable unless the stainless steel can be removed and re-installed. The approach to the installation of the shy-off valve is also acceptable. The FRP should be available and easy to match.

3. On the RCP, there are going to be several locations where the new ACT interfaces with the existing ACT. The RCP appears to indicate a new wall that will allow the new and existing ACT to terminate at, but a new wall is not shown. Please confirm it is your design intent to tie new ACT into existing ACT for a seamless final appearance where new ACT meets existing ACT.

Response: The proposed solution to tie-in the new and existing ACT seamless is acceptable and corresponds with the design intent.